

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_	APPLICATION NO.	FILE	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/029,628			Avinash Dalmia	03141-P0381A	5048	
	24126	7590	12/04/2003		EXAMINER		
			O JOHNSTON &	LEADER, WILLIAM T			
	986 BEDFO STAMFORI				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,			1742		
				DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					M			
		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
•		10/02	9,628	DALMIA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Exam	iner	Art Unit				
			m T. Leader	1742				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	inication appears or	the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	i <del></del>			
THE   - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI nsions of time may be available under the provisio SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this core period for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three monthed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In renunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply a bly will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a reply e statutory minimum of thirty (30 nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e application to become ABANE	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communi  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>22 Septemb</u>	<u>er 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action i	s non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are subject to rest	are withdrawn from						
	on Papers		1					
9)[	The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.						
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e: a)⊡ accepted o	r b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any ob	jection to the drawing	(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	_		•				
·	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner	. Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form PTO-15	2.			
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78. <ol> <li>The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ol> </li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice 2) Notice	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_·			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/029,628

Art Unit: 1742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Independent claims 1, 13 and 23 recite a substrate, a first electrode deposited on said substrate, and a second electrode deposited on said substrate. Paragraph 0038 of the specification discusses thin film electrodes while paragraph 0039 discusses thick film electrodes. In the context of the specification and figures 1 and 2, it appears that the electrodes are formed on a substrate by a deposition, i.e. coating, process. The term "deposited" in the claims, which is taken to describe the relationship between the substrate and electrodes in the recited apparatus, appears to be consistent with this manner of forming the electrodes. However, paragraph 0051 states that FIG. 3 shows an alternative embodiment where the electrodes are in a vertical fashion, whereby a plurality of members 46 may be placed on top of a plurality of extensions 48 in an alternating manner. It is not clear if applicant intends the claims to include the embodiment shown in FIG.3. If so, it is not

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/029,628

Art Unit: 1742

apparent in what manner the electrodes shown in figure 3 are "deposited" on a common substrate as recited in the claims.

4. The use of the trademark "Nafion" in claims 4, 22 and 26 renders these claims indefinite. Similarly, the use of "Teflon" in claims 15 and 29 renders these claims indefinite. See MPEP 2173.05(u).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

  Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/029,628 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

3. Claims 23 and 25-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Joshi et al (5,593,552) in view of Murphy et al (5,972,196).

- 4. The Joshi et al patent is directed a device for the electrochemical generation of gas. The device includes base 1 which may be considered to be a substrate.

  Anode electrode 7 and cathode electrode 8 are positioned on element 1. Electrolytic material 4 is in contact with the anode and cathode electrodes. See figure 1 and column 8, line 55 to column 98. Claim 23 differs from the gas generator of Joshi et al by reciting a coating deposited on a surface of the electrolyte for regulating the an amount of gas generated
- 5. The Murphy et al patent is directed to the electrochemical production of a gas such as ozone using the gas generator depicted in figure 1. The generator includes a solid polymer electrolyte 12. Bonded to one side of the solid electrolyte 12 is an electronically conducting, semi-hydrophobic, oxygen reduction electrocatalyst layer 14. This layer may be made of Teflon-bonded platinum black. See column 13, line 63 to column 14, line 15. The catalytic nature of the layer would have contributed to the regulation of the amount of gas generated.
- 6. The prior art of record is indicative of the level of skill of one of ordinary skill in the art. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to have included a catalytic layer on the solid electrolyte of Joshi et al as taught by Murphy et al to have contributed to the regulation of the amount of gas generated.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,628 Page 5

Art Unit: 1742

7. With respect to claims 25 and 26, both Joshi et al and Murphy et al disclose the use of a solid state electrolytic material. Joshi et al disclose that the solid polymer electrolyte may be "Nation" which appears to be a misspelling of Nafion. Murphy et al disclose that Nafion is a suitable material for the solid polymer electrolyte (column 9, lines 38-46).

- 8. With respect to claim 27, Murphy et al show in figure 6 is reservoir containing the water to be processed. This water contacts the solid electrolyte and would wet the solid electrolyte. It would have been obvious to have included a reservoir with material to wet the solid electrolyte in the generator of Joshi et al a shown by Murphy et al.
- 9. With respect to claims 28, 29, 30 and 31, as noted above Murphy et al disclose that the coating on the solid electrolyte contains Teflon. The coating would porous since gas may diffuse through it. With respect to claim 32, both Joshi et al and Murphy et al provide an inlet and outlet. See the figures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William T. Leader whose telephone number is 703-308-2530. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays and alternate Fridays, 7:30-4:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,628

Art Unit: 1742

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King, can be reached on 703-308-1146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

אני William Leader November 24, 2003 ROY KING '
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700